

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,604		09/22/2003	Masafumi Takagi	00684.003521	6363	
5514	7590	05/20/2004		EXAM	INER	
	FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO				DOUGLAS, STEVEN O	
30 ROCKEF NEW YORK				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
TIEW TORK	.,	V112		3751		

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 4 11 44 3	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1// [
	10/665,604	TAKAGI, MASAFUMI	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven O. Douglas	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this commu	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.5	September 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-1	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	in priority and or or or or or or or	, (=, (-,-	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Sta	ge
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			4
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
P)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	≀ate Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10072003</u> .	6) Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,

Application/Control Number: 10/665,604

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: "s 4" (line 2) should be - - 4 - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oka'254 et al.

The Oka et al. reference discloses a toner container 6 comprising first and second shutter members (4,5) that are arranged in an overlapping fashion and urged from an open to a closed position by motor 23.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oka'254 et al. in view of Miller'607 et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,604

Art Unit: 3751

The Oka et al. reference discloses a toner container (supra), but does not explicitly disclose sealing members associated with the shutter members. The Miller et al. reference discloses another toner container having explicit sealing members (20,22) to prevent accidental spilling (see col. 3, lines 45-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the Oka et al. device to utilize sealing members in view of the teachings of the Miller et al. reference to prevent accidental spillage.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Weed and Miller references pertain to toner containers with associated shutters, and the Bowen and Lonardi et al. references pertain to valve arrangements utilizing plural shutters.

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri 6:30-7:00.

Art Unit: 3751

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SD 5-17-04

STEVEN O. DOUGLAS PRIMARY EXAMINER